arXiv:1503.09081v1 [math.RT] 31 Mar 2015

W-GRAPHS FOR HECKE ALGEBRAS WITH UNEQUAL PARAMETERS (II)

YUNCHUAN YIN

Abstract. This paper is the continuation of the work in [14]. In that paper we generalized the definition of W-graph ideal in the weighted Coxeter groups, and showed how to construct a W-graph from a given W-graph ideal in the case of unequal parameters.

In this paper we study the full W-graphs for a given W-graph ideal. We show that there exist a pair of dual modules associated with a given W-graph ideal, they are connected by a duality map. For each of the dual modules, the associated full W-graphs can be constructed.Our construction closely parallels that of Kazhdan and Lusztig [6, 10, 11], which can be regarded as the special case $J = \emptyset$. It also generalizes the work of Couillens [2], Deodhar [3, 4], and Douglass [5], corresponding to the parabolic cases.

Introduction

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $\mathscr{H}(W)$ its Hecke algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate q. This is now called *the one parameter case (or the equal parameter case)*. In [9] Howlett and Nguyen introduced the concept of *a W-graph ideal* in $(W, \leqslant_L)$ with respect to a subset J of S, where $\leqslant_L$ is the left weak Bruhat order on W. They showed that a W-graph can be constructed from a given W-ideal, and a Kazhdan-Lusztig like algorithm was obtained.

In particular, W itself is a W-graph ideal with respect to $\emptyset$, and the W-graph obtained is the Kazhdan-Lusztig W-graph for the regular representation of $\mathscr{H}(W)$ (as defined in [6]). More generally, it was shown that if J is an arbitrary subset of S then D_J, the set of distinguished left coset representatives of W_J in W, is a W-graph ideal with respect to J and also with respect to $\emptyset$, and Deodhars parabolic analogues of the Kazhdan-Lusztig construction are recovered.

In [14] we generalized the definition of W-graph ideal in the Coxeter groups with a weight function L, we showed that the W-graph can also be constructed from a given W-graph ideal.

In this paper we continue the work in [14], it grows out of our attempt to understand the "full W-graphs" that include W-graphs and their dual ones. The duality has appeared in some literatures, for instance, in the original paper [6] Kazhdan and Lusztig implicitly provided a pair of dual bases C and C' for the Hecke algebras, Deodhar introduced a pair of dual modules M^J and $\widetilde{M}^J$ in parabolic cases(see [3, 4]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary and secondary 20C08, 20F55.

Key words and phrases. Coxeter group, Hecke algebra, W-graph, Kazhdan-Lusztig basis, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 1 we present some basic concepts and facts concerning the weighted Coxeter groups, Hecke algebras and W-graphs. In Section 2, we recall the concept of W-graph ideal. In Section 3, we show that there exist a pair of dual modules $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ that are associated with a given W-graph ideal $\mathbf{E}_J$, they are connected by a duality map, this in turn can be used for the construction of the dual bases of the W-graphs. This construction closely parallels the work of Deodhar [3, 4], Douglass [5], where they focused primarily upon the parabolic cases.

In Section 4 we prove in general the construction of another pair of dual W-graph bases. This part is motivated by Lusztig's work [11, Ch. 10], the construction is obtained by using the bases of $\mathscr{H}$-modules $Hom_A(M, A)$ and $Hom_A(\widetilde{M}, A)$.

In Section 5, in the case W is finite we prove an inversion formula that relates the two versions of the relative Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, . In the last section we give some examples and remarks.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let W be a Coxeter group, with generating set S. In this section, we briefly recall some basic concepts concerning the general multi-parameter framework of Lusztig [10, 11], which introduces a weight function into Coxeter groups and their associated Hecke algebras on which all the subsequent constructions depend.

We denote by $\ell : W \to \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ the length function on W with respect to S. Let $\leqslant$ denote the Bruhat order on W.

Let Γ be the totally ordered abelian group which will be denoted additively, the order on Γ will be denoted by $\leqslant$. Let $\{L(s) \mid s \in S\} \subseteq \Gamma$ be a collection of elements such that $L(s) = L(t)$ whenever $s, t \in S$ are conjugate in W. This gives rise to a weight function

$$L : W \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

in the sense of Lusztig [10, 11]; we have $L(w) = L(s_1) + L(s_2) + \cdots + L(s_k)$ where $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k (s_i \in S)$ is a reduced expression for $w \in W$. We assume throughout that

$$L(s) \geqslant 0$$

for all $s \in S$. (If $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ and $L(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$, then this is the original "equal parameter" setting of [6]).

Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a subring and $A = R[\Gamma]$ be a free R-module with basis $\{q^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ where q is an indeterminant.(The basic constructions in this section are independent of the choice of R and so we could just take $R = \mathbb{Z}$). The flexibility of R will be useful once we consider representations of W). There is a well-defined ring structure on A such that $q^\gamma q^{\gamma'} = q^{\gamma+\gamma'}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. We denote $1 = q^0 \in A$. If $a \in A$ we denote by a_γ the coefficient of a on q^γ so that $a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma q^\gamma$. If $a \neq 0$ we define the degree of a as the element of Γ equal to

$$\deg(a) = max\{\gamma \mid a_\gamma \neq 0\}$$

by convention(see [1]), we set $\deg 0 = -\infty$. So $\deg : A \to \Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ satisfies $\deg(ab) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$.

Let $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}(W, S, L)$ be the generic Hecke algebra corresponding to (W, S) with parameters $\{q^{L(s)} \mid s \in S\}$. Thus $\mathscr{H}$ has an A-basis $\{T_w \mid w \in W\}$ and the multiplication is given by the rules

$$T_sT_w = \begin{cases} T_{sw} & \text{if } \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \\ T_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})T_w & \text{if } \ell(sw) < \ell(w), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Let $\Gamma_{\geqslant\gamma_0} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \geqslant \gamma_0\}$ and denote by $A_{\geqslant\gamma_0}$ (or $R[\Gamma_{\geqslant\gamma_0}]$) the set of all R-linear combinations of terms q^γ where $\gamma \geqslant \gamma_0$. The notations $A_{\gamma>\gamma_0}, A_{\gamma\leqslant\gamma_0}, A_{\gamma<\gamma_0}$ have a similar meaning.

We denote by $A \mapsto A, a \mapsto \overline{a}$ the automorphism of A induced by the automorphism of Γ sending γ to $-\gamma$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This extends to a ring involution $\mathscr{H} \mapsto \mathscr{H}, h \mapsto \overline{h}$, where

$$\overline{\sum_{w\in W} a_wT_w} = \sum_{w\in W} \overline{a_w}T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, a_w \in A \text{ for all } w \in W,$$

and

$$\overline{T_s} = T_s^{-1} = T_s + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)}) \text{ for all } s \in S.$$

Definition of W-graph.

Definition 1.1. (for equal parameter case see [6] ; for general L see [7]). A W-graph for $\mathscr{H}$ consists of the following data:

(a) a base set Λ together with a map I which assigns to each $x \in \Lambda$ a subset $I(x) \subseteq S$;

(b) for each $s \in S$ with $L(s) > 0$, a collection of elements

$$\{\mu_{x,y}^s \mid x, y \in \Lambda \text{ such that } s \in I(x), s \notin I(y)\};$$

(c) for each $s \in S$ with $L(s) = 0$ a bijection $\Lambda \to \Lambda, x \to s.x$. These data are subject to the following requirements. First we require that, for any $x, y \in \Lambda$ and $s \in S$ where $\mu_{x,y}^s$ is defined, we have

$$q^{L(s)}\mu_{x,y}^s \in R[\Gamma_{>0}] \text{ and } \overline{\mu_{x,y}^s} = \mu_{x,y}^s.$$

Furthermore, let $[\Lambda]_A$ be a free A-module with basis $\{b_y \mid y \in \Lambda\}$. For $s \in S$, define an A-linear map

$$\rho_s(b_y) = \begin{cases} b_{s.y} & \text{if } L(s) = 0; \\ -q^{-L(y)}b_y & \text{if } L(s) > 0, s \in I(y); \\ q^{L(y)}b_y + \sum_{x\in\Lambda; s\in I(x)} \mu_{x,y}^s b_x & \text{if } L(s) > 0, s \notin I(y). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Then we require that the assignment $T_s \mapsto \rho_s$ defines a representation of $\mathscr{H}$.

2. W-GRAPH IDEALS

For each $J \subseteq S$, let $\hat{J} = S\backslash J$(the complement of J) and define $W_J = \langle J\rangle$, the corresponding parabolic subgroup of W. Let $\mathscr{H}_J$ be the Hecke algebra associated with W_J. As is well known, $\mathscr{H}_J$ can be identified with a subalgebra of $\mathscr{H}$.

Let $D_J = \{\, w \in W \mid \ell(ws) > \ell(w) \text{ for all } s \in J\,\}$, the set of minimal coset representatives of W/W_J. The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.1. [3, Lemma 2.1(iii)](modified) Let $J \subseteq S$ and $s \in S$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} D^-_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) < \ell(w) \,\},\\ D^+_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in D_J \,\},\\ D^0_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in D_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \notin D_J \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that D_J is the disjoint union $D^-_{J,s} \cup D^+_{J,s} \cup D^0_{J,s}$. Then $sD^+_{J,s} = D^-_{J,s}$, and if $w \in D^0_{J,s}$ then $sw = wt$ for some $t \in J$.

In this section we shall recall [9, Section 5], with some modification.

Let $\leqslant_L$ denote the left weak (Bruhat)order on W. We say $x \leqslant_L y$ if and only if $y = zx$ for some $z \in W$ such that $\ell(y) = \ell(z) + \ell(x)$. We also say that x is a *suffix* of y. The following property of the Bruhat order is useful (see [11, Corollary 2.5], for example).

Lemma 2.2. Let $y, z \in W$ and let $s \in S$.

(i) Assume that $sz < z$, then $y \leqslant z \Longleftrightarrow sy \leqslant z$.
(ii) Assume that $y < sy$, then $y \leqslant z \Longleftrightarrow y \leqslant sz$.

Definition 2.3. If $X \subseteq W$, let $Pos(X) = \{s \in S \mid \ell(xs) > \ell(x) \text{for all} x \in X\}$.

Thus $Pos(X)$ is the largest subset J of S such that $X \subseteq D_J$. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an ideal in the poset $(W, \leqslant_L)$; that is, $\mathbf{E}$ is a subset of W such that every $u \in W$ that is a suffix of an element of $\mathbf{E}$ is itself in $\mathbf{E}$. This condition implies that $Pos(\mathbf{E}) = S \backslash \mathbf{E} = \{s \in S \mid s \notin E\}$. Let J be a subset of $Pos(\mathbf{E})$, so that $\mathbf{E} \subseteq D_J$. In contexts we shall denote by $\mathbf{E}_J$ for the set $\mathbf{E}$, with reference to J, For each $s \in S$ we classify the elements in $\mathbf{E}_J$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) < \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\},\\ \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\},\\ \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \notin D_J \,\},\\ \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s} &= \{\, w \in \mathbf{E}_J \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \text{ and } sw \in D_J \backslash \mathbf{E}_J \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{E}_J \subseteq D_J$ it is clear that, for each $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, each $s \in S$ appears in exactly one of the following four sets $SA(w) = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}\}, SD(w) = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}\}$, $WA_J = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}\}$ and $WD_J = \{s \in S \mid w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\}$. We call the elements of these sets the strong ascents, strong descents, weak ascents and weak descents of w relative to $\mathbf{E}_J$ and J. In contexts where the ideal $\mathbf{E}_J$ and the set J is fixed we frequently omit reference to J, writing $WA(w)$ and $WD(w)$ rather than $WA_J(w)$ and $WD_J(w)$. We also define the sets of descents and ascents of w by $D(w) = SD(w) \cup WD(w)$ and $A(w) = SA(w) \cup WA(w)$.

Remark. It follows from 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} WA_J(w) &= \{s \in S \mid sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J \text{ and } w^{-1}sw \notin J \,\},\\ WD_J(w) &= \{s \in S \mid sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J \text{ and } w^{-1}sw \in J \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

since $sw \notin \mathbf{E}_J$ implies that $sw > w$ (given that $\mathbf{E}_J$ is an ideal in $(W, \leqslant L)$). Note also that $J = WD_J(1)$.

Definition 2.4. [9, Definition 5.1](modified) Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group with weight function L such that $L(s) \geqslant 0$ for all $s \in S$, $\mathscr{H}$ be the corresponding Hecke algebra. The set $\mathbf{E}_J$ is said to be a W-graph ideal with respect to $J(\subseteq S)$ and L if the following hypotheses are satisfied.

(i) There exists an A-free $\mathscr{H}$-module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ possessing an A-basis

$$B = \{\Gamma_w | w \in \mathbf{E}_J\},$$

for any $s \in S$ and any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$T_s\Gamma_w = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\Gamma_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ \Gamma_{sw} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}, \\ -q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ , \\ q^{L(s)}\Gamma_w - \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J \\ z<w}} r^s_{z,w}\Gamma_z & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

for some polynomials $r^s_{z,w} \in q^{L(s)}A_{>0}$. (ii) The module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ admits an A-semilinear involution $\alpha \mapsto \overline{\alpha}$ satisfying $\overline{\Gamma_1} = \Gamma_1$ and $\overline{h\alpha} = \overline{h}\overline{\alpha}$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}$ and $\alpha \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

An obvious induction on $\ell(w)$ shows that $\Gamma_w = T_w\Gamma_1$ for all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$.

Definition 2.5. ([9, Definition 5.2]) If $w \in W$ and $\mathbf{E}_J = \{u \in W \mid u \leqslant_L w\}$ is a W-graph ideal with respect to some $J \subseteq S$ then we call w a W-graph determining element.

Remark. It has been verified in [9, Section 5] that if W is finite then w_S, the maximal length element of W, is a W-graph determining element with respect to $\emptyset$, and d_J , the minimal length element of the left coset w_SW_J , is a W-graph determining element with respect to J and also with respect to $\emptyset$.

3. Duality theorem for W-graph ideals

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group with weight function L such that $L(s) \geqslant 0$ for all $s \in S$, $\mathscr{H}$ be the corresponding Hecke algebra. There exists an algebra map $\Phi : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}$ given by $\Phi(q^{L(s)}) = q^{L(s)}$ for all $s \in S$, and $\Phi(T_w) = \epsilon_w\overline{T_w}$, where the bar is the standard involution in $\mathscr{H}$. Further, $\Phi^2 = Id$ and Φ commutes with the bar involution.

Duality theorem. We now give an equivalent definition of a W-graph ideal, and the associated module is denoted by $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. The following theorem essentially provides the duality between the two set ups.

Theorem-definition 3.1. (I) With the above notation, let the set $\mathbf{E}_J$ be a W-graph ideal with respect to $J(\subseteq S)$ and L, then the following hypotheses are satisfied.

(i) There exists an A-free $\mathscr{H}$-module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ possessing an A-basis

$$\widetilde{B} = \{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w | w \in \mathbf{E}_J\},$$

for any $s \in S$ and any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$T_s\widetilde{\Gamma}_w = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}, \\ q^{L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ , \\ -q^{-L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w + \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J \\ z<w}} \widetilde{r}^s_{z,w}\widetilde{\Gamma}_z & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $\widetilde{r}^s_{z,w} = \epsilon_z\epsilon_w\overline{r^s_{z,w}} \in q^{-L(s)}A_{<0}$. (ii) The module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ admits an A-semilinear involution $\widetilde{\alpha} \mapsto \overline{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ satisfying $\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{h\widetilde{\alpha}} = \overline{h}\overline{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

(II) There exists a unique map $\eta : M(\mathbf{E}_J, L) \to \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(i)\eta(\Gamma_1) = \widetilde{\Gamma}_1;\\ &(ii)\eta(h\Gamma) = \Phi(h)\eta(\Gamma), \text{for all } h \in \mathscr{H} \text{ and } \Gamma \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)\ . \end{aligned}$$

(i.e., η is Φ-linear). Further, it has the following properties:

(a) η commutes with the involution on $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

(b) η is one-to-one onto and the inverse θ of η, satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of η.

Proof. For $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, define $\eta(\Gamma_w) = \epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}$. Extend η to the whole of $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ by Φ-linearity. Let $s \in S$. Then we have,

$$\eta(T_s\Gamma_w) = \begin{cases} \eta[\Gamma_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\Gamma_w] & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s},\\ \eta(\Gamma_{sw}) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s},\\ \eta(-q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_w) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ ,\\ \eta(q^{L(s)}\Gamma_w - \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J\\ z<w}} r^s_{z,w}\Gamma_z) & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

which equals to

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon_{sw}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw}} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s},\\ \epsilon_{sw}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw}} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s},\\ -q^{-L(s)}\epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ ,\\ q^{L(s)}\epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} - \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J\\ z<w}} r^s_{z,w}\epsilon_z\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_z} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

for some polynomials $r^s_{z,w} \in q^{L(s)}A_{>0}$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T_s)\eta(\Gamma_w) &= -\overline{T_s}\epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}\\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)+1}\overline{T_s\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}\\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)+1}\begin{cases} \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s},\\ \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{sw}} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s},\\ \overline{q^{L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ ,\\ \overline{-q^{-L(s)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_w - \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J\\ z<w}} \widetilde{r}^s_{z,w}\widetilde{\Gamma}_z} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that these two expressions give the same result, and this shows that $\eta(T_s\Gamma_w) = \Phi(T_s)\eta(\Gamma_w)$. It is also easy to see that $\eta(h\Gamma_w) = \Phi(h)\eta(\Gamma_w)$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}$ and $\Gamma_w \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

If η' is another map satisfying properties (i) and (ii), then

$$\eta'(\Gamma_w) = \eta'(T_w\Gamma_1) = \Phi(T_w)\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \epsilon_w\overline{T_w}\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \epsilon_w\overline{T_w\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \epsilon_w\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}$$

It is now clear that $\eta' = \eta$.

To prove statement (a), observe that for any $\Gamma \in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$, there exists $h \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $\Gamma = h\Gamma_1$. Thus

$$\overline{\eta(\Gamma)} = \overline{\eta(h\Gamma_1)} = \overline{\Phi(h)\widetilde{\Gamma}_1} = \overline{\Phi(h)}\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Phi(\overline{h})\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 = \eta(\overline{h}\widetilde{\Gamma}_1) = \eta(\overline{\Gamma}).$$

This proves (a).

We interchange the role of these two modules to obtain a map

$$\theta : \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L) \to M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$$

such that $\theta(\widetilde{\Gamma}_w) = \epsilon_w \overline{\Gamma_w}$. It is easy to check that θ and η are inverses of each other. This proves (b) $\square$

Corollary 3.2. If $R_{x,y}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{x,y}$ are the polynomials given by the formula

$$\overline{\Gamma_y} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y}\Gamma_x, \overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_y} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} \widetilde{R}_{x,y}\widetilde{\Gamma}_x$$

then

$$\overline{R_{x,y}} = \epsilon_x \epsilon_y \widetilde{R}_{x,y}.$$

Proof. Apply the function η to both the sides of the formula for $\overline{\Gamma_y}$ and use the fact that η commutes with the involution and then use the formula for $\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_y}$. We omit the details. $\square$

The above result can also be proved by the following recursive formulas.

Lemma 3.3. [14, Prop. 4.1] Let $x, y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. If $s \in S$ is such that $y \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}$ then

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} R_{sx,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ R_{sx,sy} + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)})R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}, \\ -q^{L(s)}R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ , \\ q^{-L(s)}R_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly we have

Lemma 3.4. Let $x, y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. If $s \in S$ is such that $y \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}$ then

$$\widetilde{R}_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{R}_{sx,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ \widetilde{R}_{sx,sy} + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)})\widetilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}, \\ q^{-L(s)}\widetilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}\ , \\ -q^{L(s)}\widetilde{R}_{x,sy} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

We have the further properties of $R_{x,y}$.

Lemma 3.5. If $y \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}$ then we have

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ -q^{L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

If $y \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}$ then we have

$$R_{x,y} = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ q^{-L(s)}R_{sx,y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,-}$ then

$$T_s\Gamma_y = -q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_y$$

Applying involution bar on both sides. On the left hand side we have

$$\overline{T_s\Gamma_y} = \overline{T_s}\overline{\Gamma_y} = [T_s + (q^{-L(s)} - q^{L(s)}] \sum_{x \in \mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y}\Gamma_x.$$

while the right hand side is $\overline{-q^{-L(s)}\Gamma_y} = -q^{L(s)} \sum_{x\in\mathbf{E}_J} R_{x,y}\Gamma_x$.

Comparing the coefficients of Γ_x in the two expressions, we get the result. The proof for the case $y \in \mathbf{E}_{J,s}^{0,+}$ is similar with the above. ☐

Dual bases $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}'$. Recall [14, Th.4.4] that the invariants in $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ (respectively $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$) form a free A-module with a basis $\{\, \mathbf{C}_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ (respectively $\{\, \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$), where $\mathbf{C}_w = \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w}\Gamma_y$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w = \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \widetilde{P}_{y,w}\widetilde{\Gamma}_y$.

Using the map θ, we obtain a dual basis $\{\, \mathbf{C}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ for the invariants in $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. Analogously, using the map η we obtain the dual basis $\{\, \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ for the invariants in $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

More precisely, we have:

Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathbf{C}'_w = \theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w)$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w = \eta(\mathbf{C}_w)$. Then

(a) The $\mathscr{H}$-module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{\, \mathbf{C}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{C}'_w} = \mathbf{C}'_w$ for all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $\mathbf{C}'_w = \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\widetilde{P}_{y,w}}\Gamma_y$. for some elements $\widetilde{P}_{y,w} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$ with the following properties:

(a1) $\widetilde{P}_{y,w} = 0$ if $y \nleqslant w$;
(a2) $\widetilde{P}_{w,w} = 1$;
(a3) $\widetilde{P}_{y,w}$ has zero constant term if $y \neq w$ and

$$\overline{\widetilde{P}_{y,w}} - \widetilde{P}_{y,w} = \sum_{\substack{y<x\leqslant w\\ x\in\mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{\widetilde{R}_{y,x}}\widetilde{P}_{x,w} \text{for any } y < w.$$

(b) Analogously, the module $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has another basis $\{\, \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}'_w = \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{P_{y,w}}\widetilde{\Gamma}_y$.

Proof.

$$\mathbf{C}'_w = \theta(\sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \widetilde{P}_{y,w}\widetilde{\Gamma}_y) = \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \widetilde{P}_{y,w}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_y}$$

Hence, $\overline{\mathbf{C}'_w} = \overline{\theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w)} = \theta(\overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_w}) = \theta(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}_w}) = \mathbf{C}'_w$ and the result follows. ☐

Inversion. For $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$, we write the matrix $P = (P_{y,w})$, where $P_{y,w}$ are $\mathbf{E}_J$-relative Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. The formula for $\mathbf{C}_w$ in [14, Th.4.4] may be written as

$$\mathbf{C}_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w}\Gamma_y$$

and inverting this gives

$$\Gamma_w = \mathbf{C}_w + \sum_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} Q_{y,w}\mathbf{C}_y$$

where the elements $Q_{y,w}$ (defined whenever $y < w$) are given recursively by

$$Q_{y,w} = -P_{y,w} - \sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J | y < z < w} Q_{y,z} P_{z,w} \tag{7}$$

A $\mathbf{E}_J$-chain is a sequence $\zeta : z_0 < z_1 < \cdots < z_n (n \geqslant 1)$ of elements in $\mathbf{E}_J$, we set $\ell(\zeta) = n$ and $P_\zeta = P_{z_0,z_1} P_{z_1,z_2} \cdots P_{z_{n-1},z_n}$. z_0 is called the initial element of ζ and z_n is called the final element of ζ. For $y < w$, let $\tau(y,w)$ denote the set of all $\mathbf{E}_J$-chains with y as the initial element and w as the final element.

The following results are motivated by Lusztig [11, Ch. 10]. For the sake of completeness we attach the proofs.

Proposition 3.7. For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$Q_{y,w} = \sum_{\zeta \in \tau(y,w)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta)} P_\zeta$$

We have $Q_{y,w} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$ with the following properties:

(a1) $Q_{y,w} = 0$ if $y \nleqslant w$;
(a2) $Q_{w,w} = 1$;

Proof. If $\ell(w) - \ell(y) = 1$, by Eq.(7) we have $Q_{y,w} = -P_{y,w}$. The statement is true. Applying induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y) \geqslant 1$. For any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J, y < z < w$, in the sum of Eq.(7) we use the induction hypothesis.

$$Q_{y,z} = \sum_{\zeta' \in \tau(y,z)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta')} P_{\zeta'}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{y,w} &= -P_{y,w} - \sum_{\zeta' \in \tau(y,z)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta')} P_\zeta P_{z,w} \\ &= \sum_{\zeta \in \tau(y,w)} (-1)^{\ell(\zeta)} P_\zeta \end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $\zeta = (y,w)(\in \tau(y,w))$ is with $\ell(\zeta) = 1$ and $(\zeta', w)(\in \tau(y,w))$ with the length $\ell(\zeta') + 1$. The listed properties of $Q's$ are by Eq.(7). The result is proved. □

We define

$$Q'_{y,w} = sgn(y) sgn(w) Q_{y,w}$$

Proposition 3.8. For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have $\overline{Q'_{y,w}} = \sum_{z; y \leqslant_L z \leqslant_L w} Q'_{y,z} \overline{\widetilde{R}_{z,w}}$

Proof. The triangular matrices $Q = (Q_{y,w}), P = (P_{y,w}), R = (R_{y,w})$ are related by

$$PQ = QP = 1, \overline{P} = \overline{R}P, \overline{R}R = R\overline{R} = 1$$

where the bar involution over a matrix is the matrix obtained by applying $^-$ to each entry. We deduce that

$$QP = 1 = \overline{QP} = \overline{QR}P$$

Multiplying on the right by Q and using the fact $PQ = 1$ we deduce $Q = \overline{QR}$. Multiplying on the right by R gives

$$\overline{Q} = QR$$

Let S be the matrix whose (y, w)-entry is $sgn(y)\delta_{y,w}$. We have $S^2 = 1$. Note that $Q' = SQS$. By Corollary 3.2 we have $\overline{R} = S\widetilde{R}S$. Hence

$$\overline{Q'} = \overline{SQS} = S(QR)S = SQS \cdot SRS = Q'\overline{\widetilde{R}}$$

The result follows. □

4. W-GRAPHS FOR THE MODULES $\hat{M}$ AND $\hat{\widetilde{M}}$

Denote by $M := M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M} :== \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$. Let $\hat{M} := Hom_A(M, A)$ and $\hat{\widetilde{M}} := Hom_A(\widetilde{M}, A)$.

Define an left $\mathscr{H}$-module structure on $\hat{M}$ by

$$hf(m) = f(hm)(\text{with } f \in \hat{M}, m \in M, h \in \mathscr{H}\ ).$$

We define a bar operator $\hat{M} \mapsto \hat{M}$ by $\overline{f}(m) = \overline{f(\overline{m})}$ (with $f \in \hat{M}, m \in M$); in $\overline{f(\overline{m})}$ the lower bar is that of M and the upper bar is that of A.

$$\overline{h \cdot f}(m) = \overline{hf(\overline{m})} = \overline{f(h\overline{m})} = \overline{f}(\overline{h\overline{m}}) = \overline{f}(\overline{h}m) = \overline{h} \cdot \overline{f}(m).$$

Hence we have $\overline{h \cdot f} = \overline{h} \cdot \overline{f}$ for $f \in \hat{M}, h \in \mathscr{H}$.

In the following contexts we focus on the module $\hat{M}$, and usually omit the analogous details for $\hat{\widetilde{M}}$.

If P is a property we set $\delta_P = 1$ if P is true and $\delta_P = 0$ if P is false. We write $\delta_{x,y}$ instead of $\delta_{x=y}$.

The basis of $\hat{M}$. We firstly introduce two bases for the module $\hat{M}$. For any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we define $\hat{\Gamma}_z \in \hat{M}$ by $\hat{\Gamma}_z(\Gamma_w) = \delta_{z,w}$ for any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. Then $\hat{B} =: \{\hat{\Gamma}_z; z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ is an A-basis of $\hat{M}$.

Further, for any $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we define $D_z \in \hat{M}$ by $D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = \delta_{z,w}$ for any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. Then $D := \{D_z; z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ is an A-basis of $\hat{M}$.

Obviously we have

$$D_z = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J, z < y} Q_{z,y}\hat{\Gamma}_y.$$

An equivalent definition of the basis element $D_w \in \hat{M}$ is

$$D_z(\Gamma_y) = Q_{z,y}$$

for all $y \in \mathbf{E}_J$. In fact, we have

$$D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = D_z \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} P_{y,w}\Gamma_y) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} Q_{z,y}P_{y,w} = \delta_{z,w}$$

Lemma 4.1. For any $y \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$\overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y} = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{E}_J, y \leqslant w} \overline{R_{y,w}}\hat{\Gamma}_w.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y}(\Gamma_x) &= \overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y(\overline{\Gamma_x})}\\ &= \overline{\hat{\Gamma}_y(\sum_{x' \in \mathbf{E}_J, x' \leqslant x} R_{x',x}\Gamma_{x'})} = \overline{\delta_{y \leqslant x} R_{y,x}} = \delta_{y\leqslant x}\overline{R_{y,x}}\\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbf{E}_J, y \leqslant w} \overline{R_{y,w}}\hat{\Gamma}_w(\Gamma_x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2. [14, Th.4.7] The basis elements $\{\mathbf{C}_v \mid v \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ give the module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ the structure of a *W*-graph module such that

$$T_s\mathbf{C}_v = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)}\mathbf{C}_v + \mathbf{C}_{sv} + \sum\limits_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz<z<v} m^s_{z,v}\mathbf{C}_z & \text{if } s \in SA(v),\\ -q^{-L(s)}\mathbf{C}_v & \text{if } s \in D(v),\\ q^{L(s)}\mathbf{C}_v + \sum\limits_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, sz<z<v} m^s_{z,v}\mathbf{C}_z & \text{if } s \in WA(v).\end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Theorem 4.3. The $\mathscr{H}$-module $\hat{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{\, D_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ such that $\overline{D_z} = D_z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $D_z = \sum\limits_{y \in \mathbf{E}_J} Q_{z,y}\hat{\Gamma}_y$. for some elements $Q_{z,y} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$ with the following properties:

- (a1) $Q_{z,y} = 0$ if $z \nleqslant y$;
- (a2) $P_{z,z} = 1$;
- (a3) $Q_{z,y}$ has zero constant term if $z \neq y$ and

$$Q_{z,y} - \overline{Q_{z,y}} = \sum_{\substack{z \leqslant x < y\\ x \in \mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{Q_{z,x}}R_{x,y} \text{for any } z < y.$$

The proof is very similar to that of [11, Th. 5.2] or [10, Section 2]. It uses induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y)$, the equation $\overline{Q} = QR$ in Proposition 3.8, and the fact: If $f = \sum_{\substack{z \leqslant x < y\\ y \in \mathbf{E}_J}} \overline{Q_{z,x}}R_{x,y}$ then $\overline{f} = -f$. We omit further details of the proof.

The (left) ascent set of $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$ is

$$A(z) = \{s \in S \mid z \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s} \cup \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}\}$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $s \in S$ and assume that $L(s) > 0$. The basis elements

$$\{D_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$$

give $\hat{M}$ the structure of a *W*-graph module such that

$$T_sD_z = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)}D_z + D_{sz} + \sum\limits_{z<u, s \in A(u)} m^s_{z,u}D_u & \text{if } s \in SD(z),\\ q^{L(s)}D_z & \text{if } s \in A(z),\\ -q^{-L(s)}D_z + \sum\limits_{z<u, s\in A(u)} m^s_{z,u}D_u & \text{if } s \in WD(z),\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Proof. In the case $s \in SD(z)$, $T_s D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = D_z(T_s\mathbf{C}_w)$ gives

$$T_sD_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = \begin{cases} D_z(q^{L(s)}\mathbf{C}_w + \mathbf{C}_{sw} + \sum\limits_{x\in\mathbf{E}_J, sx<x<w} m^s_{x,w}\mathbf{C}_x) & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ D_z(-q^{-L(s)}\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in D(w), \\ D_z(q^{L(s)}\mathbf{C}_w + \sum\limits_{x\in\mathbf{E}_J, sx<x<w} m^s_{x,w}\mathbf{C}_x) & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \delta_{z,sw} + \sum\limits_{x\in\mathbf{E}_J, sx<x<w} m^s_{x,w}\delta_{z,x} & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)}\delta_{z,w} & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ \sum\limits_{x\in\mathbf{E}_J, sx<x<w} m^s_{x,w}\delta_{z,x} & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \delta_{z,sw} + m^s_{z,w}\delta_{z<w} & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)}\delta_{z,w} & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ m^s_{z,w}\delta_{z<w} & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (D_{sz} + \sum\limits_{z<u, u\in\mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}} m^s_{z,u}D_u)(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in SA(w), \\ -q^{-L(s)}D_z(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in SD(w), \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in WD(w), \\ \sum\limits_{z<u, u\in\mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}} m^s_{z,u}D_u(\mathbf{C}_w) & \text{if } s \in WA(w), \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$T_sD_z(\mathbf{C}_w) = (-q^{-L(s)}D_z + D_{sz} + \sum_{z<u, s\in A(u)} m^s_{z,u}D_u)(\mathbf{C}_w)$$

foe all $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$. The desired formula follows in this case.

In other cases the computation is similar with the above, we omit the details. ☐

The following is by [14, Prop.4.8].

Corollary 4.5. For $s \in S$ with $L(s) = 0$, $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, we have

$$T_sD_z = \begin{cases} D_{sz} & \text{if } s \in SD(z) \text{ or } s \in SA(z), \\ -D_z & \text{if } s \in WD(z), \\ D_z & \text{if } s \in WA(z), \end{cases}$$

The D'-basis for M.

Theorem 4.6. The $\mathscr{H}$-module $\hat{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{ D'_z \mid z \in \mathbf{E}_J \}$ such that $\overline{D'_z} = D'_z$ for all $z \in \mathbf{E}_J$, and $D'_z = \sum\limits_{y\in\mathbf{E}_J} \epsilon_y \overline{\widetilde{Q}_{z,y}}\hat{\Gamma}_y$. where $\widetilde{Q}_{z,y} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$, are the analogous elements in the case of $\widetilde{M}$.

$$T_s D'_z = \begin{cases} q^{L(s)} D'_z + D'_{sz} + \sum\limits_{z<u, s\in A(u)} m^s_{z,u} D'_u & \text{if } s \in SD(z), \\ -q^{-L(s)} D'_z & \text{if } s \in A(z), \\ q^{L(s)} D'_z + \sum\limits_{z<u, s\in A(u)} m^s_{z,u} D'_u & \text{if } s \in WD(z), \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

For the $\mathscr{H}$-module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$, two pairs of dual bases $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}'$ and D, D' give the structures of the "full W-graphs".

The module $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$. Set $\mathbf{E}_J := D_J$. If D_J is regarded as a W-graph ideal with respect to $\emptyset$ (see Deodhar's construction in Section 6), we have

Lemma 4.7. The modules $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$ and $M(D_J, L)$ are identical.

Proof. For any basis element $\hat{\Gamma}_w$ of $\hat{M}(D_J, L)$ and element Γ_y of $M(D_J, L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_s\hat{\Gamma}_w(\Gamma_y) &= \hat{\Gamma}_w(T_s\Gamma_y) \\ &= \delta_{y\in D^-_{J,s}}\delta_{w,sy} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\delta_{y\in D^-_{J,s}}\delta_{w,y} + \delta_{y\in D^+_{J,s}}\delta_{w,sy} \\ &\quad + q^{L(s)}\delta_{y\in D^0_{J,s}}\delta_{w,y} \\ &= \delta_{w\in D^+_{J,s}}\delta_{sw,y} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\delta_{w\in D^-_{J,s}}\delta_{w,y} + \delta_{w\in D^-_{J,s}}\delta_{sw,y} \\ &\quad + q^{L(s)}\delta_{w\in D^0_{J,s}}\delta_{w,y} \\ &= (\delta_{w\in D^+_{J,s}}\hat{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\delta_{w\in D^-_{J,s}}\hat{\Gamma}_w + \delta_{w\in D^-_{J,s}}\hat{\Gamma}_{sw} \\ &\quad + q^{L(s)}\delta_{w\in D^0_{J,s}}\hat{\Gamma}_w)(\Gamma_y) \end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$T_s\hat{\Gamma}_w = \begin{cases} \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in D^+_{J,s}, \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\hat{\Gamma}_w & \text{if } w \in D^-_{J,s}, \\ q^{L(s)}\hat{\Gamma}_{sw} & \text{if } w \in D^0_{J,s}, \end{cases}$$

The result follows. ☐

Corollary 4.8. The $\mathscr{H}$-module $M(D_J, L)$ has basis $\{D_z \mid z \in D_J\}$, where $D_z = \sum_{y\in D_J, z<y} Q_{z,y}\Gamma_y$. This basis gives the structure of W-graph module such that

$$T_s D_z = \begin{cases} -q^{-L(s)} D_z + D_{sz} + \sum\limits_{z<u, u\in D^+_{J,s}\cup D^0_{J,s}} m^s_{z,u} D_u & \text{if } z \in D^-_{J.s}, \\ q^{L(s)} D_z & \text{if } z \in D^+_{J,s} \cup D^0_{J,s}, \end{cases}$$

5. In the case W is finite

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and w_0 be the longest element in W. Define the function $\pi\colon W \to W$ by $\pi(w) = w_0 w w_0$, it satisfies $\pi(S) = S$ and it extends to a C-algebra isomorphism $\pi\colon C[W] \longmapsto C[W]$. We denote by $s_0 = \pi(s)$. For $s \in S$ we have $\ell(w_0) = \ell(w_0 s) + \ell(s) = \ell(\pi(s)) + \ell(\pi(s)w_0)$, hence

$$L(w_0) = L(w_0 s) + L(s) = L(\pi(s)) + L(\pi(s)w_0) = L(\pi(s)) + L(w_0 s)$$

so that $L(\pi(s)) = L(s)$. It follows that $L(\pi(w)) = L(w)$ for all $w \in W$ and that we have an A-algebra automorphism $\pi : \mathscr{H} \longmapsto \mathscr{H}$ where $\pi(T_w) = T_{\pi(w)}$ for any $w \in W$.

Lemma 5.1. The $\mathscr{H}$-modules M and $\widetilde{M}$ have basis $\Gamma^\pi = \{T_{w_0}\overline{\Gamma_w} \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}^\pi = \{T_{w_0}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w} \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J\}$ respectively. Moreover we have $\eta(T_{w_0}\overline{\Gamma_w}) = \epsilon_{w_0w}\overline{T_{w_0}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}}$.

Proof. Since the involution is square 1 and T_{w_0} is invertible in $\mathscr{H}$, the statement follows. Furthermore

$$\eta(T_{w_0}\overline{\Gamma_w}) = \Phi(T_{w_0})\eta(\overline{\Gamma_w}) = \epsilon_{w_0}\overline{T_{w_0}}\epsilon_w\widetilde{\Gamma}_w = \epsilon_{w_0w}\overline{T_{w_0}\overline{\widetilde{\Gamma}_w}}.$$

□

In the following, for the sake of convenience we primarily focus on the module M and omit the analogous details for $\widetilde{M}$, unless it is needed. For any $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we denote by $w' := w_0w$ and $\Gamma^\pi_{w'} := T_{w_0}\overline{\Gamma_w} (\in M(\mathbf{E}_J, L))$.

Remark Generally $w_0\mathbf{E}_J \neq \mathbf{E}_J$. We emphasize that, in the following contexts, the set $w_0\mathbf{E}_J$ will be just used as the index set for the objects involved.

Direct computation gives the following multiplication rules for the basis Γ^π.

$$T_{s_0}\Gamma^\pi_{w'} = \begin{cases} \Gamma^\pi_{s_0w'} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)})\Gamma^\pi_{w'} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}, \\ \Gamma^\pi_{s_0w'} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ -q^{-L(s)}\Gamma^\pi_{w'} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s} \\ q^{L(s)}\Gamma^\pi_{w'} - \sum_{\substack{z\in\mathbf{E}_J \\ z<w}} r^{s_0}_{w',z'}\Gamma^\pi_{z'} & \text{if } w \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}, \end{cases},$$

where $r^{s_0}_{w',z'} = \overline{r^s_{z,w}} \in q^{-L(s)}A_{<0}$.

Lemma 5.2. For any $y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$ there exist coefficients $R^\pi_{x',y'} \in A$, defined for $x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$ and $x' < y'$, such that $\overline{\Gamma^\pi_{y'}} = \sum_{x'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J} R^\pi_{x',y'}\Gamma^\pi_{x'}$. If $R^\pi_{x',y'} \neq 0$ then $x' \leqslant y'$; particularly $R^\pi_{y',y'} = 1$.

The proof is trivial.

We have further properties of $R^\pi_{x',y'}$.

Lemma 5.3. If $y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}$ then we have

$$R^\pi_{x',y'} = \begin{cases} -q^{L(s_0)}R^\pi_{s_0x',y'} & \text{if } x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ -q^{-L(s_0)}R^\pi_{s_0x',y'} & \text{if } x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

If $y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}$ then we have

$$R^\pi_{x',y'} = \begin{cases} q^{-L(s_0)}R^\pi_{s_0x',y'} & \text{if } x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^-_{J,s}, \\ q^{L(s_0)}R^\pi_{s_0x',y'} & \text{if } x' \in w_0\mathbf{E}^+_{J,s}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar with that of Lemma 3.5. □

5.1. **The bases $\mathbf{C}^\pi$ for M.** The elements $R^\pi_{w',y'}$, where $w', y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$, lead to the construction of another set of elements $P^\pi_{w',y'}$ and the following basis of $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$.

Theorem 5.4. The $\mathscr{H}$-module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ has a unique basis $\{\, \mathbf{C}^\pi_{y'} \mid y' \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J \,\}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{C}^\pi_{y'}} = \mathbf{C}^\pi_{y'}$ for all $y \in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$, and $\mathbf{C}^\pi_{y'} = \sum\limits_{w'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J} P^\pi_{w',y'}\Gamma^\pi_{w'}$. for some elements $P^\pi_{w',y'} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$ with the following properties:

(a1) $P^{\pi}_{w',y'}=0$ if $w'\not\leqslant y'$;
(a2) $P^{\pi}_{y',y'}=1$;
(a3) $P^{\pi}_{w',y'}$ has zero constant term if $y'\neq w'$ and

$$\overline{P^{\pi}_{w',y'}}-P^{\pi}_{w',y'}=\sum_{\substack{w'<x'\leqslant y'\\ x'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}}\overline{R^{\pi}_{w',x'}}P^{\pi}_{x',y'}\text{for any } w'<y'.$$

The proof is very similar to that of [11, Th. 5.2] or [10, Section 2]. It uses induction on $\ell(w')-\ell(y')$, and the fact:

$$\text{If } f=\sum_{\substack{w'<x'\leqslant y'\\ x'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}}\overline{R^{\pi}_{w',x'}}P^{\pi}_{x',y'} \text{ then } \overline{f}=-f.$$

We omit further details of the proof.

Lemma 5.5. For $y,w\in\mathbf{E}_J$. We have (i) $y\leqslant_L w\Longleftrightarrow w'\leqslant_L y'$;
(ii) $R^{\pi}_{w',y'}=R_{y,w}$; $\widetilde{R}^{\pi}_{w',y'}=\widetilde{R}_{y,w}$;
(iii) for any $w',y'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J$ and $w'<y'$ we have

$$\overline{P^{\pi}_{w',y'}}=\sum_{\substack{w'\leqslant x'\leqslant y'\\ x'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}}P^{\pi}_{x',y'}\overline{R^{\pi}_{x,w}}.$$

$$\overline{\widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{w',y'}}=\sum_{\substack{w'\leqslant x'\leqslant y'\\ x'\in w_0\mathbf{E}_J}}\widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{x',y'}\overline{\widetilde{R}^{\pi}_{x,w}}$$

Proof. (a) is obvious. We prove (b) by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $\ell(w)=0$ then $w=1$. We have $R_{y,1}=\delta_{y,1}$. Now $R^{\pi}_{w_0,w_0y}=0$ unless $w_0\leqslant_L w_0y$. On the other hand we have $w_0y\leqslant_L w_0$. Hence $R^{\pi}_{w_0w,w_0y}=0$ unless $w_0y=w_0$, that is $y=1$ in which case it is 1. The desired equality holds when $\ell(w)=0$. Assume that $\ell(w)\geqslant 1$. We can find $s\in S$ such that $sw<w$. The proof of the following cases (a) and (b) is similar with Lusztig....

In the case (a) $y\in\mathbf{E}^{-}_{J,s}$. By the induction hypothesis we have

$$R_{y,w}=R_{sy,sw}=R^{\pi}_{w_0sw,w_0sy}=R^{\pi}_{s_0w_0w,s_0w_0y}=R^{\pi}_{w_0w,w_0y}$$

In the case (b) $y\in\mathbf{E}^{+}_{J,s}$. Using Lemma 3.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_{y,w}&=R_{sy,sw}+(q^{-L(s)}-q^{L(s)})R_{y,sw}\\&=R^{\pi}_{w_0sw,w_0sy}+(q^{-L(s_0)}-q^{L(s_0)})R^{\pi}_{w_0sw,w_0y}\\&=R^{\pi}_{s_0w',s_0y'}+(q^{-L(s_0)}-q^{L(s_0)})R^{\pi}_{s_0w',y'}\\&=R^{\pi}_{s_0w',s_0y'}+(q^{-L(s_0)}-q^{L(s_0)})R^{\pi}_{w',s_0y'}\\&=R^{\pi}_{w',y'}\end{aligned}$$

In the Case (c) $y\in\mathbf{E}^{0,-}_{J,s}$. Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 5.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}R_{y,w}=-q^{L(s)}R_{y,sw}&=-q^{L(s_0)}R^{\pi}_{w_0(sw),w_0y}=-q^{L(s_0)}R^{\pi}_{s_0w',y'}\\&=-q^{L(s_0)}(-q^{-L(s_0)}R^{\pi}_{w',y'})=R^{\pi}_{w',y'}.\end{aligned}$$

Case (d) $y \in \mathbf{E}^{0,+}_{J,s}$. Using Lemma 3.5 and 5.3, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$R_{y,w} = q^{-L(s)}R_{y,sw} = q^{-L(s_0)}R^{\pi}_{s_0w',y'} = R^{\pi}_{w',y'}.$$

(iii) follows (ii). ☐

Proposition 5.6. For any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have $Q_{y,w} = \epsilon_y\epsilon_w\widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{w',y'}$. (Analogously $\widetilde{Q}_{y,w} = \epsilon_y\epsilon_w P^{\pi}_{w',y'}$).

Proof. We argue by induction on $\ell(w) - \ell(y) \geqslant 0$. If $\ell(w) - \ell(y) = 0$ we have $y = w$ and both sides are 1. Assume that $\ell(w) - \ell(y) > 0$. Subtracting the identity in ...from that in ...and using induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\overline{\epsilon_y\epsilon_w Q_{y,w}} - \overline{\widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{w',y'}} = \epsilon_y\epsilon_w Q_{y,w} - \widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{w',y'}$$

The right hand side is in $A_{>0}$; since it is fixed by the involution bar, it is 0. ☐

More precisely, we have the following inversion formulas

Corollary 5.7. In the above situation,

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, x \leqslant z \leqslant w} \varepsilon_w\varepsilon_z P_{x,z}\widetilde{P}^{\pi}_{w',z'} = \delta_{x,w};$$
$$\sum_{z \in \mathbf{E}_J, x \leqslant z \leqslant w} \varepsilon_w\varepsilon_z \widetilde{P}_{x,z}P^{\pi}_{w',z'} = \delta_{x,w}$$

for all $x, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$.

Corollary 5.8. If W is finite, for any $y, w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ we have

$$m^s_{y,w} = -\epsilon_{w_0y}\epsilon_{w_0w}m^{\pi,s_0}_{w_0w,w_0y},$$

where $m^s_{y,w}$ are the elements involved in the multiplication formulas for C-basis, $m^{\pi,s_0}_{w_0w,w_0y}$ are the analogous in the formulas for C^{π}-basis.

Corollary 5.9. If W is finite, for the bases D and C^{π} in $M(D_J, L)$, and the $\widetilde{D}$-basis and $\widetilde{C}^{\pi}$-basis for $\widetilde{M}(D_J, L)$ we have

$$T_{w_0}D_z = \epsilon_{w_0z}\theta(\widetilde{C}^{\pi}_{w_0z}) \text{ and } T_{w_0}\widetilde{D}_z = \epsilon_{w_0z}\eta(C^{\pi}_{w_0z}).$$

6. Some remarks

An example: the dual Solomon modules. In this subsection, let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter group system. Assume that $L(s) > 0$ for all $s \in S$. In [14] we introduced the A-free $\mathscr{H}$-module $\mathscr{H}C_{w_J}C'_{w_{\hat{J}}}$, which is called the **Solomon module** with respect to J and L, and where

$$C_{w_J} = \epsilon_{w_J}\sum_{w \in W_J}\epsilon_w q^{L(ww_J)}T_w = \epsilon_{w_J}q^{L(w_J)}\sum_{w \in W_J}\epsilon_w q^{-L(w)}T_w;$$
$$C'_{w_{\hat{J}}} = \sum_{w \in W_{\hat{J}}} q^{-L(ww_{\hat{J}})}T_w = q^{-L(w_{\hat{J}})}\sum_{w \in W_{\hat{J}}} q^{L(w)}T_w.$$

that is, $C'_{w_{\hat{J}}}$ is the C'-basis element corresponding to $w_{\hat{J}}$, the maximal length element of $W_{\hat{J}}$, or c-basis element corresponding to $w_{\hat{J}}$ (see [11, Corollary 12.2]). C_{w_J} is the C-basis element corresponding to w_J.

In [14] we showed that $\mathscr{H} C_{w_J} C'_{w_{\hat{J}}}$ has basis $\{ T_x C_{w_J} C'_{\hat{J}} \mid x \in F_J \}$. This basis admits the multiplication rules listed in the Definition 2.4, and F_J is a W-graph ideal with respect to J and weight function L.

Similarly, the $\mathscr{H}$-module $\mathscr{H} C'_{w_J} C_{w_{\hat{J}}}$ has basis $\{ T_x C'_{w_J} C_{\hat{J}} \mid x \in F_J \}$. We can easily prove that this basis admits the multiplication rules listed in the Definition 3.1. We call this the **dual module** of $\mathscr{H} C_{w_J} C'_{w_{\hat{J}}}$.

The Kazhdan-Lusztig construction. Assume that $J = \emptyset$. Then $D_J = W$ and the sets $WD_J(w)$ and $WA_J(w)$ are empty for all $w \in W$.

(1). If $L(s) > 0$ (for all $s \in S$), both modules $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ are with A-basis $(X_w \mid w \in \mathbf{E}_J)$ such that,

$$T_s X_w = \begin{cases} X_{sw} & \text{if } \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \\ X_{sw} + (q^{L(s)} - q^{-L(s)}) X_w & \text{if } \ell(sw) < \ell(w), \end{cases}$$

where the elements X_w stand for Γ_w or $\widetilde{\Gamma}_w$. If we let $X_w = T_w$ for all $w \in W$, then both modules are the regular module $\mathscr{H}$ with weight function L. Thus we can recover some of Lusztig's results (for example, see [11, Ch.5, 6, 10, 11])for the regular case.

Deodhar's construction: the parabolic cases. Let J be an arbitrary subset of S and $L(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$, we can now turning to Deodhar's construction.

Set $\mathbf{E}_J := D_J$, then D_J is a W-graph ideal with respect to J, and also it is a W-graph ideal with respect with $\emptyset$.

In the latter case we have $D_\emptyset = W$, if $w \in \mathbf{E}_J$ then

$$\begin{aligned} SA(w) &= \{s \in S | sw > w \text{ and } sw \in D_J\}, \\ SD(w) &= \{s \in S | sw < w\}, \\ WD_\emptyset(w) &= \{s \in S | sw \notin D_\emptyset\} = \emptyset, \\ WA_\emptyset(w) &= \{s \in S | sw \in D_\emptyset \ DJ\} = \{s \in S | sw = wt \text{ for some } t \in J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathscr{H}_J$ be the Hecke algebra associated with the Coxeter system (W_J, J). Let $M_\psi = \mathscr{H} \otimes_{\mathscr{H}_J} A_\psi$, where A_ψ is A made into an $\mathscr{H}_J$-module via the homomorphism $\psi : \mathscr{H}_J \to A$ that satisfies $\psi(T_u) = q^{\ell(u)}$ for all $u \in W_J$, it is a A-free with basis $B = \{b_w \mid w \in D_J\}$ defined by $b_w = T_w \otimes 1$. This corresponds to M^J in [3] in the case $u = q$(we note that this is denoted by $\widetilde{M}^J$ in [4]).

Let $M_\phi = \mathscr{H} \otimes_{\mathscr{H}_J} A_\phi$, where A_ψ is A made into an $\mathscr{H}_J$-module via the homomorphism $\phi : \mathscr{H}_J \to A$ that satisfies $\psi(T_u) = (-q)^{-\ell(u)}$ for all $u \in W_J$, again it is a A-free with basis $B = \{b_w \mid w \in D_J\}$ defined by $b_w = T_w \otimes 1$. This corresponds to M^J in [3] in the case $u = -1$(this is denoted by M^J in [4]).

Our module $M(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ is now essentially reduced to be the module M_ψ, while $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{E}_J, L)$ is reduced to be the module M_ϕ, the only differences being due to our non-traditional definition of $\mathscr{H}$.

In the case D_J is a W-graph ideal with respect to J, the discussion is similar with the above. For more details see [9, Sect. 8].

References

[1] C. Bonnafe, *Two-sided cells in type B in the asymptotic case*, J. Algebra 304 (2006), 216-236.

[2] Michèle Couillens, *Généralisation parabolique des polynômes et des bases de Kazhdan-Lusztig*, J. Algebra. **213** (1999), 687–720.

[3] V. Deodhar, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings II. The parabolic analogue of kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Alg. **111**(2), (1987), 483-506.

[4] V. Deodhar, *Duality in parabolic set up for questions in Kazhdan-Lusztig theory*, J. Alg. **142**, (1991), 201-209.

[5] J. Matthew Douglass, *An inversion formula for relative Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Comm. Algebra. **18** (1990), 371–387.

[6] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.

[7] M. Geck and N. Jacon, *Representations of Hecke algebras at roots of unity*, Algebra and Applications 15, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[8] M. Geck, *PyCox: Computing with (finite) Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, arXiv: 1201.5566v2, 2012.

[9] R. B. Howlett and V.Nguyen, *W-graph ideals*, J.Algebra 361(2012), 188-212.

[10] G. Lusztig, *Left cells in Weyl groups, Lie Group Representations*, I, R. L. R. Herb and J. Rosenberg, eds., Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1024, Springer-Verlag, 1983, 99-111.

[11] G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monographs Ser. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[12] J.Y.Shi, *The Laurent polynomials $M^s_{y,w}$ in the Hecke algebra with unequual parameters* J. Alg.**357**(2012), 1-19.

[13] L. Solomon, *A decomposition of the group algebra of finite Coxeter group*, J. Alg.**9**(1968), 220-239.

[14] Y. Yin,*W-graphs for Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, Manuscripta Math. DOI: 10.1007/s00229-014-0719-1, Nov. 2014, published online.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, P.R.China